

REMARKS

The applicant wishes to thank Examiner Astorino for the courtesy of calling on December 21, 2005. During that conversation, the Examiner indicated that there were no art objections to the disclosed invention, but that the following changes in the claims were suggested to place the application in condition for allowance.

Abbreviations in the claims should be spelled out. All abbreviations have been replaced by their full text found in the specification. No new matter has been introduced by this amendment.

Parenthetical expressions should be removed. This has been done.

Lower case letters should precede list items. This has been done.

Claim language referring to kinds of activities should be avoided. This has been accomplished by deleting the phrase "kinds of" at each appearance in the claims. This makes the description of the activities more definite. Combined with the description of typical activities in the specification, it is believed that there is no real ambiguity in the claim language and that the invention is described in sufficiently definite language.

In view of this amendment, it is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is authorized to charge any additional amount necessitated by this reply, including any charges for extensions of time to allow consideration of this or any future reply requiring a petition for an extension of time, to deposit account 07-1730, docket 545/015. This authorization should be treated as a constructive petition for such extensions of time, if any, as are necessary. In calculating such fees, please note that the applicant is a small entity.

Respectfully submitted,

GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN
270 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016



Allen I. Rubenstein
Reg. No. 27,673

Dated: June 8, 2006